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Abstract
This paper presents different types of bipolar Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) strategies for the chosen Cascaded MultiLevel Inverter (CMLI). The main purpose of MLI is to use power semiconductor devices of lower voltage ratings to realize high voltage levels at inverter output. Due to their ability to obtain refined output voltage waveforms, reduced Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) and reduced EMI problems by reducing the switching \(dv/dt\). In this paper, a three phase CMLI is controlled with Sinusoidal PWM strategy with Phase Disposition PWM (PDPWM), Phase Opposition and Disposition PWM (PODPWM), Alternative Phase Opposition and Disposition PWM (APODPWM), Phase Shift PWM (PSPWM) and hybrid modulation strategy and the variation of THD in their outputs are observed by varying the modulation index. Simulations are performed using MATLAB-SIMULINK. It is observed that APODPWM and PSPWM provide output with relatively low distortion. It is also seen that PS, APOD and hybrid PWM are found to perform better since they provide relatively higher fundamental RMS output voltage. From hardware results it is seen that POD PWM provides output with relatively low distortion. It is also observed that PSPWM is found to perform better since it provide relatively higher fundamental RMS output voltage.
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1. Introduction
Power conditioning systems are often designed to supply an AC load from DC source. An inverter should provide constant and ripple free AC voltage to ensure
Nomenclatures

$A_c$  Carrier amplitude, volts
$A_m$  Reference amplitude, volts
$B$    Output voltage terminal
$E_1$ & $E_2$  Voltage Sources, volts
$f_c$  Carrier frequency, Hz
$f_m$  Modulating (or) Reference frequency, Hz
$f_s$  Switching Frequency, Hz
$HF_n$  $n^{th}$ harmonic factor
$I_r$  RMS value of input current, amps
$I_i(peak)$  Peak input current, amps
$L$    Inductor, Hendry
$m$    Number of levels
$m_a$  Amplitude Modulation index
$m_f$  Frequency Modulation index
$n$    Number of output phase voltage levels
$N$    Neutral
$R$    Output voltage terminal
$R_L$  Load Resistor, ohms
$S$    Number of DC Sources
$S_1$ – $S_4$  Switches
$V_{avg}$  Average voltage, volts
$V_{dc}$  Input Voltage, volts
$V_{DC}$  DC voltage, volts
$V_{rms}$  RMS voltage, volts
$V_{RMS}$  RMS voltage, volts
$V_{on}$  $n^{th}$ harmonic voltage, volts
$V_{ol}$  Fundamental voltage, volts
$Y$    Output Voltage terminal

Abbreviations

AC  Alternating Current
ADC  Analog to Digital Converter
APOD  Alternate Phase Opposition and Disposition
CF  Crest Factor, %
CMLI  Cascaded Multi Level Inverter
dSPACE  Digital Signal Processing and Control Engineering
DAC  Digital to Analog converter
DC  Direct Current
DCMs  Digital Clock Manager
DF  Distortion Factor, %
DTC  Direct Torque Control
EMI  Electro Magnetic Interference
FF  Form Factor, %
FFT  Fast Fourier Transform
the safety of the equipments. The design of such systems must achieve an output voltage behavior as close as possible to the ideal AC voltage in the sense of fast transient response to load variation and low THD. With the expansion of power electronics towards the medium voltage and high power applications in the past few years, multilevel power conversion is an emerging area.

A cascade multilevel inverter is a power electronic device built to synthesize a desired AC voltage from several levels of DC voltages. Such inverters have been the subject of research in the last several years. This paper focuses on multicarrier based sinusoidal PWM strategies which have been used in chosen three phase cascaded MLI. A pulse width modulation (PWM) scheme that used sinusoidal modulating signals with a multiple triangular carrier is discussed. The PWM strategies chosen are PDPWM, PODPWM, APODPWM, PSPWM and PD+PSPWM.

The chosen PWM strategies are simulated and implement through FPGA hardware. The new strategies chosen are PD+PSPWM (hybrid) strategy. Donald Grahame Holmes and McGrath [1] proposed opportunities for harmonic cancellation with carrier-based PWM for two level and multilevel cascaded inverters. Jose et al. [2] carried out survey on topologies, controls and applications. Loh et al. introduced in [3] synchronization of distributed PWM cascaded multilevel inverter with minimal harmonic distortion and common mode voltage. Mariethoz and Rufer [4] analysed resolution and efficiency improvements for three phase cascaded multilevel inverters. Xianglian et al. [5]...

2. Multi Level Inverter

The CMLI is simply a number of conventional two-level bridges whose AC terminals are simply connected in series to synthesize the output waveforms. Figure 1 shows the power circuit for a three phase five-level inverter with six cascaded cells. The CMLI needs several independent DC sources which may be obtained from batteries, fuel cells or solar cells. Through different combinations of the four switches of each cell, each converter can generate three different voltage outputs, \(+V_{dc}\), \(0\), \(-V_{dc}\). The AC output is the sum of the individual converter outputs. The number of output phase voltage levels is defined by \(n = 2S + 1\), where \(S\) is the number of DC sources. For instance the output range swings from \(-2V_{dc}\) to \(+2V_{dc}\) with five levels.

![Fig. 1. SIMULINK Model for Three Phase Five Level Cascaded Multilevel Inverter.](image-url)
The use of CMLI offers several advantages over more traditional inverters including better output waveforms lower THD, lower switching frequency, increased efficiency and reliability.

The gate signals for chosen five level cascaded inverter are simulated using MATLAB-SIMULINK. The gate signal generator model developed is tested for various values of modulation index $m_a$ and for various PWM strategies. Figure 2 shows a sample SIMULINK model developed for PDPWM method. The simulation and hardware results presented in this work in the form of the outputs of the chosen multilevel inverter are compared and evaluated.

![Fig. 2. Sample PWM Generation Logic Model Developed using SIMULINK for PDPWM Technique.](image)

### 3. Modulation Strategies

Multicarrier PWM techniques entail the natural sampling of a single modulating or reference waveform typically being sinusoidal, through several carrier signals typically being triangular waveforms. This paper focuses on multicarrier based sinusoidal PWM strategies which have been used in chosen three phase cascaded MLI. The following strategies are employed in this study.

The following formula is applicable to PD, POD, APOD and VF. The frequency modulation index

$$m_f = \frac{f_c}{f_m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The Amplitude modulation index

$$m_a = \frac{2A_m}{(m-1)A_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where

- $f_c$ – Frequency of the carrier signal
- $f_m$ – Frequency of the reference signal
- $A_m$ – Amplitude of the reference signal
- $A_c$ – Amplitude of the carrier signal
- $m$ – Number of levels.
3.1. Phase disposition PWM strategy

The principle of the PDPWM strategy is to use several triangular carriers with only one modulation wave. For an \( m \) level inverter, \( m-1 \) carriers of same frequency \( f_c \) and same peak-to-peak amplitude \( A_c \) are disposed so that the bands they occupy are contiguous as shown in Fig.3. The reference or modulation wave has amplitude \( A_m \) and frequency \( f_m \) and it is centered in the middle of the carrier set. If the reference is greater than a carrier signal, then the active devices corresponding to that carrier are switched on; otherwise the devices switch off.

![Fig. 3. Multicarrier Arrangement for PDPWM Technique.](image)

3.2. Phase opposition disposition PWM strategy

This method is having the carriers above the zero line of reference voltage out of phase with those of below this line by 180 degrees as shown in Fig. 4.

![Fig. 4. Multicarrier Arrangement for PODPWM Technique.](image)

3.3. Alternative phase opposition and disposition PWM strategy

Each carrier of this method is phase shifted by 180 degrees from its adjacent one as shown in Fig. 5. It should be noted that POD and APOD methods are exactly the same for a 3-level inverter. This method gives almost the same result as the POD method. The major difference is the larger amount of third order harmonics which is not important because of their cancellation in line voltages when comparing to the POD method.

![Fig. 5. Multicarrier Arrangement for APODPWM Technique.](image)
3.4. Phase shift PWM strategy

To generate a output phase voltage with m levels, this strategy uses \((m-1)\) carriers with the same amplitude but with \(360/(m-1)\) degrees phase-shift among themselves. For a \(m\)-level converter, the most significant harmonics will be located in lateral bands around \((m-1)f_c\), where \(f_c\) is the carrier frequency. For even values of the modulation frequency index \((mf_c)\), the waveforms synthesized present quarter wave symmetry resulting only even harmonics. Therefore, for a five-level inverter, this strategy uses four carriers with 90° phase-shift among themselves, as can be seen in Fig. 6.

\[
m_m = \frac{A_m}{(A_c/2)}
\]  

\(m_m\) is the carrier frequency ratio.

![Fig. 6. Multicarrier Arrangement for PSPWM Technique.](image)

3.5. Hybrid PWM strategy

The different modulation strategies have different characters and are applicable to different multilevel topologies. One of the most important methods to optimize control of the inverter is to select and design suitable PWM modulation strategies according to the characters of the multilevel topologies.

Based on the above analysis, the general idea of modulation strategies based on multi-carrier SPWM can be drawn for hybrid topologies. Firstly, the modulation strategies based on the vertical distribution of carriers do not increase the equivalent carrier frequency, while the modulation strategy based on horizontal phase-shifted carrier can effectively increase the equivalent carrier frequency and decrease harmonic content of output voltage; secondly, the cascaded topologies have modular extendibility and high output voltage characteristics. So, for research on hybrid topologies, the overall structure shall be cascaded, the modulation strategy based on horizontal phase-shifted carriers shall be adopted for the overall modulation, and the suitable modulation strategy shall be adopted for specified topology to be used for different modules. For lower module, fundamental wave frequency modulation shall be adopted to reduce the switching loss. For upper module, multi-carrier modulation shall be adopted to improve the frequency spectrum performance of output waveform. The PD and PS techniques can be adopted for the two basic modules. Meanwhile, the PS technique can be adopted to increase the equivalent carrier frequency between
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modules. In this way, for the topology given Fig. 1, the PD+PS modulation strategy is adopted, as shown in Fig. 7.

![Fig. 7. Multicarrier Arrangement for Hybrid PWM Technique.](image)

In this paper, \( m_f = 40 \) and \( m_a \) is varied from 0.6 to 1. \( m_f \) is chosen as 40 as a trade off in view of the following reasons:

- to reduce switching losses (which may be high at large \( m_f \))
- to reduce the size of the filter needed for the closed loop control, the filter size being moderate at moderate frequencies
- to effectively utilise the available dSPACE system for hardware implementation.

4. Simulation Results

The cascaded five level inverter is modelled in SIMULINK using power system block set. Switching signals for CMLI are developed using bipolar PWM techniques discussed previously. Simulation is performed for different values of \( m_a \) ranging from 0.6 – 1. The corresponding %THD values are measured using FFT block and they are shown in Table 1. Table 2 displays the \( V_{rms} \) of fundamental of inverter output for same modulation indices. Table 3 shows crest factor, Table 4 provides form factor and Table 5 displays distortion factor for various values of modulation indices. Figures 8-17 show the simulated output voltage of CMLI and corresponding FFT plots with above strategies but for only one sample value of \( m_a = 0.8 \). Figure 8 shows the five level output voltage generated by PDPWM strategy and its FFT plot is shown in Fig. 9. From Fig. 9 it is observed that the PDPWM strategy produces significant 30th, 32nd, 36th and 38th harmonic energy. Figure 10 shows the five level output voltage generated by PODPWM strategy and its FFT plot is shown in Fig. 11. From Fig. 11 PODPWM strategy produces significant 33rd, 35th and 39th harmonic energy. Figure 12 shows the five level output voltage generated by APODPWM strategy and its FFT plot is shown in Fig. 13. From Fig. 13 it is seen that the APODPWM strategy produces significant 35th and 37th harmonic energy. Figure 14 shows the five level output voltage generated by PSPWM strategy and its FFT plot is shown in Fig. 15. From Fig. 15 it is noticed that the PSPWM strategy produces no significant harmonic energy. Figure 16 shows the five level output voltage generated by hybrid strategy and its FFT plot is
shown in Fig. 17. From Fig. 17 it is noticed that the hybrid strategy produces significant 34th, 39th and 40th harmonic energy.

The following parameter values are used for simulation: $V_{DC} = 220\,\text{V}$, $R_L = 100\,\text{ohms}$, $L = 0.5\,\text{mH}$ and $f_s = 2\,\text{kHz}$.

![Fig. 8. Output Voltage Generated by PDPWM Technique.](image1)

![Fig. 9. FFT Plot for Output Voltage of PDPWM Technique.](image2)

![Fig. 10. Output Voltage Generated by PODPWM Technique.](image3)
Fig. 11. FFT Plot for Output Voltage of PODPWM Technique.

Fig. 12. Output Voltage Generated by APODPWM Technique.

Fig. 13. FFT Plot for Output Voltage of APODPWM Technique.

Fig. 14. Output Voltage Generated by PSPWM Technique.
Fig. 15. FFT Plot for Output Voltage of PSPWM Technique.

Fig. 16. Output Voltage Generated by Hybrid (PS+PD)PWM Technique.

Fig. 17. FFT Plot for Output Voltage of Hybrid (PS+PD)PWM Technique.
Table 1. %THD for Different Modulation Indices (by Simulation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( m_a )</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>POD</th>
<th>APOD</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PD+PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>27.04</td>
<td>26.94</td>
<td>26.52</td>
<td>27.18</td>
<td>26.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>33.62</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>33.21</td>
<td>32.76</td>
<td>33.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>38.37</td>
<td>38.12</td>
<td>38.14</td>
<td>38.22</td>
<td>38.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>42.07</td>
<td>41.88</td>
<td>41.97</td>
<td>41.37</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>44.47</td>
<td>44.52</td>
<td>44.56</td>
<td>44.35</td>
<td>44.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. \( V_{RMS} \) (Fundamental) for Different Modulation Indices (by Simulation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( m_a )</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>POD</th>
<th>APOD</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PD+PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>310.7</td>
<td>310.7</td>
<td>311.1</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>279.9</td>
<td>280.3</td>
<td>280.2</td>
<td>279.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>248.8</td>
<td>249.2</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>248.2</td>
<td>248.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>217.3</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>217.2</td>
<td>218.1</td>
<td>216.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>186.1</td>
<td>185.7</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>184.6</td>
<td>186.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. %Crest Factor for Different Modulation Indices (by Simulation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( m_a )</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>POD</th>
<th>APOD</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PD+PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>1.4139</td>
<td>1.4144</td>
<td>1.4141</td>
<td>1.4141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.4143</td>
<td>1.4141</td>
<td>1.4141</td>
<td>1.4141</td>
<td>1.4141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.4143</td>
<td>1.4142</td>
<td>1.4142</td>
<td>1.4141</td>
<td>1.4141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.4141</td>
<td>1.4139</td>
<td>1.4139</td>
<td>1.4145</td>
<td>1.4139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.4137</td>
<td>1.4147</td>
<td>1.4141</td>
<td>1.4136</td>
<td>1.4138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. %Form Factor for Different Modulation Indices (by Simulation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( m_a )</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>POD</th>
<th>APOD</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PD+PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Distortion Factor for Different Modulation Indices (by Simulation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( m_a )</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>POD</th>
<th>APOD</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PD+PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Hardware Results

This section presents the results of experimental work carried out on chosen CMLI using a FPGA-3E board which is based on the VPTB-05. Real time implementation of these strategies using VHDL coding requires less time for development as it can be expanded from the simulation blocks developed using MATLAB/SIMULINK. Spartan-3E Low Cost board which includes the
following components and features 100,000-gate Xilinx Spartan-3E, XC3S100 E FPGA in a 144-Thin Quad Flat Pack package (XC3S100E- Q144), 2160 logic cell equivalents, Four 18K-bit block RAMs (72K bits), Four 18x18 pipelined hardware multipliers, Two Digital Clock Managers (DCMs), 32 Mbit Intel Strata Flash, 3 numbers of 20 pin header to interface VLSI based experiment modules, 8 input Dip Switches, 8 output Light Emitting Diodes(LEDS), On Board programmable oscillator (3 to 200 MHz), 16x2 Alphanumeric LCD, RS232 UART, 4 Channel 8 Bit I2C based ADC & single Channel DAC, PS/2 Keyboard/Mouse, Prototyping area for user applications and on Board configuration Flash PROM XCF01S. The gate signal generation blocks using different PWM strategies listed above are designed and developed using VHDL coding and downloaded to FPGA. The results of the experimental study are shown in the form of the PWM outputs of chosen CMLI

Optocoupler circuit provides isolation between the control circuit and the power converter circuit. The optocoupler used is 6N137, which is an optically coupled gate that combines a GaAsP light emitting diode and an integrated high gain photo detector. An enable input allows the detector to be strobed. The output of the detector IC is in version of the applied input. The PWM signals from the FPGA are not capable of driving the MOSFETs. In order to strengthen the pulses a driver circuit is provided.

Figure 18 shows the entire hardware setup. After suitably scaling down the simulation values, in view of laboratory constraints, the peak-to-peak output voltage obtained experimentally is 40 V.

![Fig. 18. The Entire Hardware Setup.](image)

Switching signals for CMLI are developed using FPGA processor as shown in Fig. 18. Hardware results are obtained for different values of $m_a$ ranging from 0.6 – 1. The corresponding %THD values are measured using FFT block and they are shown in Table 6. Table 7 displays the $V_{rms}$ of fundamental of inverter output voltage. Tables 8 and 9 provide form factor and distortion factor for
same modulation indices. Figures 19-28 show the hardware output voltage of CMLI and corresponding FFT plots with above strategies but for only one sample value of $m_a = 0.8$. Figure 19 shows the five level output voltage generated by PDPWM strategy and its FFT plot is shown in Fig. 20. From Fig. 20 it is observed that the PDPWM strategy produces significant $112^{th}$ and $120^{th}$ harmonic energy. Figure 21 shows the five level output voltage generated by PODPWM strategy and its FFT plot is shown in Fig. 22. From Figure 22 PODPWM strategy produces significant $113^{rd}$, $115^{th}$ and $119^{th}$ harmonic energy. Figure 23 shows the five level output voltage generated by APODPWM strategy and its FFT plot is shown in Fig. 24. From Fig. 24 it is seen that the APODPWM strategy produces significant $115^{th}$ and $117^{th}$ harmonic energy. Figure 25 shows the five level output voltage generated by PSPWM strategy and its FFT plot is shown in Fig. 26. From Fig. 26, it is noticed that the PSPWM strategy produces significant $119^{th}$ harmonic energy. Figure 27 shows the five level output voltage generated by hybrid strategy and its FFT plot is shown in Fig. 28. From Fig. 28 it is noticed that the (PS+PD) strategy produces significant $2^{nd}$, $3^{rd}$, $4^{th}$, $112^{th}$, $116^{th}$, $118^{th}$, $119^{th}$ and $120^{th}$ harmonic energy.

The following parameter values are used for hardware setup: $V_{DC} = 20V$, $R_L = 100$ ohms, $L = 50$ mH, and $f_s = 6$ kHz
Fig. 21. Output Voltage Generated by PODPWM Technique.

Fig. 22. FFT Plot for Output Voltage of PODPWM Technique.

Fig. 23. Output Voltage Generated by APODPWM Technique.

Fig. 24. FFT Plot for Output Voltage of APODPWM Technique.
Fig. 25. Output Voltage Generated by PSPWM Technique.

Fig. 26. FFT Plot for Output Voltage of PSPWM Technique.

Fig. 27. Output Voltage Generated by (PD + PS) PWM Technique.

Fig. 28. FFT Plot for Output Voltage of (PD+PS) PWM Technique.
Table 6. % THD for Different Modulation Indices(by Experiment).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m_a</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>POD</th>
<th>APOD</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PD+PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18.22</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>12.87</td>
<td>18.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>12.74</td>
<td>19.59</td>
<td>14.27</td>
<td>20.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>20.76</td>
<td>15.68</td>
<td>21.47</td>
<td>17.18</td>
<td>20.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>15.48</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>18.99</td>
<td>23.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>19.91</td>
<td>15.98</td>
<td>24.15</td>
<td>20.70</td>
<td>29.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. $V_{RMS}$ (fundamental) for Different Modulation Indices (by Experiment).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m_a</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>POD</th>
<th>APOD</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PD+PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18.24</td>
<td>18.59</td>
<td>18.47</td>
<td>18.94</td>
<td>18.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>20.99</td>
<td>20.90</td>
<td>20.88</td>
<td>19.94</td>
<td>19.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>22.62</td>
<td>22.51</td>
<td>22.47</td>
<td>22.06</td>
<td>20.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>19.95</td>
<td>20.03</td>
<td>19.90</td>
<td>20.13</td>
<td>18.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>17.28</td>
<td>17.37</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>18.08</td>
<td>16.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Form Factor for Different Modulation Indices (by Experiment).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m_a</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>POD</th>
<th>APOD</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PD+PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>INF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Distortion Factor for Different Modulation Indices (by Experiment).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m_a</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>POD</th>
<th>APOD</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PD+PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0.871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>1.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>1.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>1.410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Conclusions

Various bipolar PWM strategies with triangular carriers have been developed using MATLAB-SIMULINK and tested for different modulation indices ranging from 0.6-1 for the chosen three phase cascaded multilevel inverter and then implemented in real time using FPGA. The results are satisfactory.

- It is observed from simulation results that (Table 1) APODPWM and PSPWM strategies provide output with relative low distortion.
- PS, APOD and hybrid PWM are found to perform better since they provide relatively higher fundamental RMS output voltage (Table 2) and Table 3 provides crest factor, Table 4 shows form factor and Table 5 provides distortion factor for all modulating indices.
- Various performance factors like (i) THD and harmonic spectra indicating purity of the output voltage, (ii) $V_{RMS}$ indicating the amount of DC bus utilization, (iii) CF specify the peak current ratings of devices and components, (iv) FF measure the shape of the output voltage and (v) DF indicates the amount of harmonics that remains in the output voltage after it
has been subjected to second order attenuation related to power quality issues have been evaluated, presented and analysed.

- It is seen from hardware results (Table 6) that PODPWM strategy provides output with relative low distortion. PSPWM is found to perform better since it provides relatively higher fundamental RMS output voltage (Table 7) for all modulation indices. Table 8 provides form factor and Table 9 shows distortion factor for all modulating indices.

- The result analyses indicate that appropriate PWM strategies have to be employed depending on the performance measure required in a particular application of MLI based on the criteria of output voltage quality (Peak value of the fundamental, THD and dominant harmonic components).

- The proposed PWM methods with less THD and higher RMS voltage can be implemented in industrial applications such as AC Power conditioners, static VAR compensators, drive systems, etc., and in power generation industries.
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**Appendix A**

**Performance Parameters**

In the present work a number of factors are used for the prediction of the harmonics

**Harmonic factor of n^{th} harmonic (HF_{n})**

The harmonic factor (of the n^{th} harmonic), which measure of individual harmonic contribution, is defined as

\[ HF_{n} = \frac{V_{on}}{V_{ol}} \quad \text{for} \quad n > 1 \]

where \( V_{ol} \) is the RMS value of the fundamental component and \( V_{on} \) is the RMS value of the n^{th} harmonic component.

**Total Harmonic Distortion (THD)**

The total harmonic distortion, which is a measure of closeness in shape between a waveform and its fundamental component, is defined as

\[ \text{THD} = \frac{1}{V_{ol}} \sqrt{\sum_{n=2,3}^{\infty} \left( \frac{V_{on}}{n} \right)^{2}} \]

**Distortion factor (DF)**

THD gives the total harmonic content but it does not indicate the level of each harmonic component. If a filter is used at the output of inverters, the higher order harmonics would be attenuated more effectively. Therefore, knowledge of both the frequency and magnitude of each harmonic is important. The DF indicates the amount of HD that remains in a particular waveform after the harmonics of that waveform have been subjected to a second-order attenuation (i.e., divided by \( n^2 \)). Thus, DF is a measure of effectiveness in reducing unwanted harmonics without having to specify the values of a second-order load filter and is defined as

\[ \text{DF} = \frac{1}{V_{ol}} \sqrt{\sum_{n=2,3}^{\infty} \left( \frac{V_{on}}{n^2} \right)^{2}} \]

The DF of an individual (or n^{th}) harmonic component is defined as
\[ DF_n = \frac{V_{pu}}{V_{a_k^n}} \text{ for } n > 1 \]

**Lowest Order Harmonic (LOH)**

The LOH is that harmonic component whose frequency is closest to the fundamental one and its amplitude is greater than or equal to 3% of the fundamental component.

**Crest Factor (CF)**

CF is a measure of peak input current, \( I_p \) (peak) as compared with its RMS value, \( I_s \). CF is often of interest to specify the peak current ratings of devices and components. The CF of the input current is defined by:

\[ CF = \frac{I_p \text{ (peak)}}{I_s} \]

**Form Factor (FF)**

FF is a measure of the shape of the output voltage.

\[ FF = \frac{V_{RMS}}{V_{avg}} + C_{th} \]

where \( V_{RMS} \) is the RMS value of output voltage and \( V_{avg} \) is the DC content in the output voltage.