

EMISSION FACTOR ESTABLISHMENT FOR PALM OIL MILL BOILER

NUR H. HANAFI¹, MIMI H. HASSIM^{1,*}, MOHD R. M. YUSUF²

¹Institute of Hydrogen Economy, Department of Chemical Engineering,
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia

²Air Resources Research Laboratory Malaysia Japan International Institute of Technology,
UTM Kuala Lumpur, 54100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

*Corresponding Author: mimi@cheme.utm.my

Abstract

Emission factors of various particle sizes (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ and total particulate) of particulate matter from boiler of palm oil mill plant fully equipped with a multidust cyclone were established and reported in this paper. The 13,600 kg steam/ h capacity of water-tube typed boiler used in the mill study processes 800 million tonnes per day of fresh fruit bunch (FFB). The samples of the dust were collected iso-kinetically using the USEPA method 17 sampling train through a sampling port located after a multidust cyclone unit. Results showed that the total dust emitted from the palm oil mill's boiler 1 varies from 29.275 to 59.893 g/s with an average of 41.913±13.48 g/s, whereas for boiler 2 the emission varies from 12.909 to 19.212g/s with an average of 17.127±2.875 g/s. Based on the actual boiler capacity (BC), the calculated emission factor for total dust for boiler 1 is 11.094±3.569 g/kg, whereas for boiler 2 it is 4.533±0.761 g/kg. For the various particle sizes of particulate matter, the PM₁₀ emission factor from boilers 1 and 2 are 1.518±0.625 g/kg and 1.034±0.173 g/kg respectively. Meanwhile, the emission factor of PM_{2.5} for boilers 1 and 2 are 0.259±0.083 g/kg and 0.173±0.029 g/kg. Data on emission factors of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀, as established in this study, are very important as the emitted pollutants may pose significant health impacts, which have been a widely recognized yet almost unsolvable problem in palm oil industries. Establishment of EFs of pollutants from palm oil mill is extremely needed so that emissions can be estimated earlier, before any new mill is built or an existing mill is expanded. The establishment of EFs for palm oil mills will definitely benefit various parties including the industries, authorities, policy makers and societies.

Keywords: Emission factors, Air pollution, Palm oil mill, Boiler, Risk assessment.

Nomenclatures

EF_{BC}	Emission factor based on the actual boiler capacity
$PM_{2.5}$	Particulate matter 2.5 micrometers or less in diameter
PM_{10}	Particulate matter 10 micrometers or less in diameter

Abbreviations

APC	Air pollution control
BC	Boiler capacity
CO	Carbon monoxide
CPO	Crude palm oil
EFs	Emission factors
ESP	Electrostatic precipitator system
FFB	Fresh fruit bunch
NO_x	Nitrogen oxide
PM	Particulate matters
SO_2	Sulphur dioxide

1. Introduction

Over the last few decades, Malaysia's palm oil industry has grown to become a very important agriculture-based industry, where the country is currently the world's leading producer and exporter of palm oil. The goal of achieving an industrial country status by the year 2020 and the associated rapid economic growth have started to add costs in terms of industrial pollution and degradation of urban environment, which have become more serious in recent years.

In 2009, there were 411 palm oil mills in Malaysia with total capacities of 95 million tons fresh fruit bunch (FFB) and average of 90.53 % of capacity utilization rate [1]. Generally, palm oil mills in Malaysia use fiber and shell wastes as fuel source in boiler to produce steam and electricity for palm oil and kernel oil production processes. The fiber and shell generated by the mill alone can supply more than enough electricity to meet the energy demand of a palm oil mill [2]. One ton of FFB leaves 14-15 % fiber and 6-7 % shell; sufficient for a palm oil mill to self-generate energy for their internal use.

However, the emission of pollutants released from the boiler poses quite a significant health and environmental impact. The use of biomass material from palm oil byproducts, i.e., fiber and shell as fuel is identified as the main culprit for pollutants generation. Economically, the usage of palm waste material as fuel is seen as productive since the material will not be wasted. However, combustion process in the furnace of the boiler releases emissions such as particulate matters (PM), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxide (NO_x) and sulphur dioxide (SO_2). Besides environment, these emissions also impose negative impact on human health since fine particulates are easily deposited in human's respiratory tract.

Although palm oil mill industry in Malaysia has existed for many years, very limited studies have been conducted in investigating the characteristic and emission of pollutants from palm oil mills. Eventually the impact of such emissions can be estimated much earlier, even before the development of new plant or expansion of existing plant with the aid of emission factors (EFs). Early emissions estimation however needs data on pollutants emission factors. Emission factors can be defined as emissions that are emitted by a particular source type based on specific

pollutants, process, age, size, control technology and other pertinent factors affecting the emissions. Therefore, more emphasis should be given into developing emission factors for pollutants from palm oil mills. This paper presents efforts on establishment of particulate emission factor from a boiler of a palm oil mill plant that is capable of processing 3.778 kg/s of boiler capacity.

2. Methodology

2.1. Structure description of the studied palm oil mill

In Malaysia, more than 2.8 million hectares of land are used for oil palm cultivation. Malaysia's palm oil industry has grown to become a very important biomass-based industry. Basically, palm oil industry is divided into two which are palm oil mill and palm oil refinery. Palm oil mill processes fresh fruit bunches (FFB) received from the oil palm plantations into crude palm oil (CPO) and other by-products. Two products, which are crude palm oil (CPO) and palm kernel, are produced in a palm oil mill. Whereas, palm oil refinery is to convert the crude oil into quality edible oil by removing offensive impurities to most efficient oil. This study focuses on palm oil mill as it is more significant in causing environmental air pollution by emitting dark smoke emission with carry-over of soot and partially carbonised fibrous particulates from palm oil mill boiler.

Generally, in palm oil mills, fresh fruit bunch (FFB) of palm will go through several processes such as sterilization, stripping, oil extraction, clarification, nut-fiber separation and kernel extraction and drying to produce palm oil as shown in Fig. 1. The processing wastes (fibre and shell) are used as an alternative boiler fuel for steam and electricity generation for palm oil and kernel production processes. However, there are some associated problems due to incomplete combustion of fuel such as the emissions of smoke and the carryover of particulate matters [3].

The palm oil mill plant that is selected for the study is located in the state of Johor. The 13,600 kg steam/ hr capacity of water-tube typed boiler used in palm oil mill plant processes 800 million tonnes per day of fresh fruit bunch (FFB). The fiber and shell are fed into the boiler with the ratio of 85 % to 15 %. The studied mill is equipped with two boilers and multi-dust cyclone as Air Pollution Control (APC). Table 1 presents the details of the two boilers operating conditions. The stack diameter is 2.4 m for both boilers and the average stack gas velocity is almost the same within each boiler, which are 15.97m/s and 15.94 m/s respectively. The main difference between these two boilers are in terms of average stack gas temperature which are 290 °C for boiler 1 and 224°C for boiler 2, while the average stack gas pressure for both boilers are 11.4 mmH₂O and 12.8 mmH₂O.

2.2. Sampling process

The stack emission samples were obtained from a mixed fibre and shell fired fuel palm oil mill with the capacity of processing 800 million tonnes per day. The particulate emission sampling was performed at the sampling port located after the multi-cyclones unit. The sampling procedures were made following the USEPA Method 17 - "Determination of in-stack particulate emissions from

stationary sources”. A schematic of the sampling train used in this method is shown in Fig. 2. The required reagents for sample collection are filters, silica gel, water, crushed ice, and stopcock grease. Thimble filter placed in a canister is used to collect the particulates. Particulate matter is withdrawn iso-kinetically from the source and collected on a glass fibre filter maintained at stack temperature. Silica gels are used to determine the amount of moisture leaving the condenser. It is recommended that silica gel to still be used between the condenser system and pump to avoid the need to make corrections for moisture in the metered volume.

During the test run, the crushed ice was added into the impinger ice bath to maintain a temperature of less than 20° C (68° F) at the condenser outlet that will prevent excessive moisture losses. The data (weight) was collected by using four thimbles for each boiler during, before, and after sampling and the data was collected more than once, specifically three times, for each thimble. Flue gas moisture content and volumetric flow rate were also determined using the US EPA method 4 - “Determination of moisture content in stack gas” and US EPA method 2- “Determination of stack gas velocity and volumetric flow rate (Type S pitot tube)”, respectively.




Fig. 1. Conventional palm oil extraction process and sources of waste generation [4].




Fig. 2. Particulate sampling train with in-stack filter [5].

Table 1. The tested palm oil mill boilers conditions.

	Boiler 1	Boiler 2
Date of test	3 rd October 2013	2 nd October 2013
Stack ID	1	2
Fresh Fruit Bunch (FFB) processed per day (mt)	800	800
Fiber & shell ratio	85:15	85:15
Stack diameter at sampling plane (m)	2.4	2.4
Average stack gas temperature (°C)	290.0	224.0
Average stack ΔP (mmH ₂ O)	11.4	12.8
Average stack gas velocity (m/s)	15.97	15.94

2.3. Data analysis and calculations

The analysis procedures of the samples collected were performed using gravimetric analysis. Glass fibre filters papers (Whatman GF) were used as the collection medium in the sampling. The filters were dried in an oven for 24 hours before being used. The filters were carefully placed in the filter holders and used for sample collection. After sampling, the filters were taken out of the holder, desiccated for 24 hours and weighed by analytical balance. The average value of the collected weights from four thimbles has been computed to obtain the average concentration of each gaseous component and particulate matter in order to increase the reliability of the data. The difference in before and after weight represents the amount of particulate collected on filter media.

The final weight of the particulate collected on filter media was used to obtain the total particulate emission concentrations at normal and dry conditions, C_{partN} (g/Nm^3) using Eq. (1).

$$C_{partN} = \frac{W_{tp}}{V_{mn}} \quad (1)$$

where,

C_{partN} = total particulate emission concentrations at normal and dry conditions, g/Nm^3

W_{tp} = Weight of Particulate Collected, g

V_{mn} = Dry gas volume sampled corrected to Normal Conditions, Nm^3 .

Next, the flowrates of stack gas at normal and dry conditions, $Q_{sN,dry}$ (Nm^3/s) were calculated using Eq. (2) as below :

$$Q_{sN,dry} = Q_s \times \left(\frac{273K}{T_s} \right) \times (1 - B_{ws}) \quad (2)$$

where,

$Q_{sN,dry}$ = flowrates of stack gas at normal and dry conditions, (Nm^3/s)

Q_s = Flowrates of Stack Gas, (m^3/s)

T_s = Temperature of Stack Gas, (K)

B_{ws} = Moisture content, (%)

Then, the total particulate emitted at normal and dry condition, $M_{n,dry}$ (g/s) was obtained by multiply the particulate emission concentrations at normal and dry conditions, C_{partN} (g/Nm^3) and flowrates of stack gas at normal and dry conditions, $Q_{sN,dry}$ (Nm^3/s) as Eq. (3).

$$M_{n,dry} = C_{part,N} \times Q_{sN,dry} \quad (3)$$

where,

$M_{n,dry}$ = total particulate emitted at normal and dry condition, g/s

C_{partN} = Particulate Emission Concentrations at Normal and Dry Conditions, (g/Nm^3)

$Q_{sN,dry}$ = Flowrates of Stack Gas at Normal and Dry Conditions, Nm^3/s .

Thus, pollutant emissions from palm oil mill boiler can be expressed in term of emission factor which will be discussed in more details in the next subtopic.

2.4. Calculation of emission factor for particulate matters

Emission factors can be defined as emissions that are emitted by a particular source type based on specific of pollutants, process, age, size, control technology and other pertinent factors affecting the emissions [6]. Emission factors can also be defined as the mass of pollutants emitted per unit mass of dry stubble burned. Based on the later definition, the amount of pollutants including particulate emission can be estimated early based on certain characteristics of the industry or process [7]. Early prediction of pollutants emission will subsequently assist in determining the degree of control and the air pollution control system needed, besides evaluating the effectiveness of the existing pollution control strategies [8].

The total particulate emission factor based on the actual boiler capacity (EF_{BC}), (g/kg) was calculated using Eq. (4). The boiler has the capacity of generating 13,600 kg steam/ h by processing 800 million tons per day of fresh fruit bunch (FFB).

The calculated particulate emission factor based on the boiler capacity [7]:

$$EF_{BC} = \frac{Mn, dry \left(\frac{g}{s}\right)}{BC \left(\frac{kg}{s}\right)} \quad (4)$$

where,

EF_{BC} = total particulate emission factor based on the actual boiler capacity, g/kg

Mn, dry = total particulate emitted at normal and dry condition, g/s

BC = Boiler capacity, kg/s

3. Results and Discussion

As shown in Table 2, the total dust generated from the boiler ranges from 12.909 to 59.89 g/s. The generated total dust is greatly influenced by the capacity of feedstock which is F&S burned as well as the operating conditions of the boiler at the time of the sampling. The calculated total dust emission factor of boiler 1 based on the boiler capacity, BC, is 11.094 ± 3.569 g/kg, whereas for boiler 2 it is 4.533 ± 0.761 g/kg. As comparison, the total particulate emission factor for wheat straw, rice straw, corn straw and cotton stalk burning is 8.75 ± 4.18 , 6.28 ± 1.59 , 5.31 ± 1.79 and 4.53 ± 0.95 g/kg, respectively as shown in Table 3 [9]. While, for vegetation fires like forest, savanna and grass, the particulate emission factor was 9.6 ± 4.6 , 6.3 ± 3.0 and 4.7 ± 2.1 g/kg, respectively [10]. It is noted that the value of total particulate emission factor of boiler capacity in a palm oil mill boiler (i.e., 19.9 ± 14.2 g/kg) as found in previous study, is much higher than those biomasses process mentioned above.

The emission factor of the particulate matters from palm oil mill boiler is higher because properties of the biomass feedstock (which are fibre and shell from FFB), e.g., moisture content is higher compared to the other types of biomasses. Such biomass property can greatly affect the amount of emissions produced. The material moisture content also varies with geographical location, season, and weather. However, high moisture, a tendency to form tars, and an ash chemistry that leads to the formation of low melting point solids when heated presents challenges to some conversion methods [11]. Emission factor establishment also depends on the capacity of feedstocks. The resulting emissions of biomass burning are directly proportional with the feedstock inlet/feeding rate because the more biomass feedstock used, the more air pollution emission will be produced.

This study also establishes emission factors for dust emission of various particle sizes ($PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}) from a boiler of a palm oil mill plant. PM_{10} is particulate matter 10 micrometers or less in diameter, whereas $PM_{2.5}$ is particulate matter 2.5 micrometers or less in diameter. $PM_{2.5}$ is generally described as fine particles and also known for triggering respiratory health complications [16]. As shown in Table 2, the PM_{10} emission factor from boiler 1 ranges from 1.06 to 2.17 g/kg (1.518 ± 0.625 g/kg) while, for boiler 2, the emission factor ranges from 0.779 to 1.159 g/kg (1.034 ± 0.173 g/kg). As comparison with other biomasses, the PM_{10} emission factor for wheat straw, rice straw, and corn straw is 5.74, 3.46 and 6.21 g/kg respectively [17]. The emission factor of PM_{10} from corn straw burning is found to be much higher than the other biomasses including that from the palm oil mill boiler studied in this paper. This is due to the different elemental composition of different type of biomasses. Sillapapiromsuk et al. (2013) revealed that all types of biomass burning emitted five major ions including K^+ , NH_4^+ , Cl^- , NO_3^- and SO_4^{2-} where K^+ and Cl^- were the major ions emitted from agricultural waste burning which was probably related to the use of fertilizers and herbicides [18].

Table 2. Emission factor for total particulates, particulate matter 10 micrometers or less in diameter (PM₁₀) and particulate matter 2.5 micrometers or less in diameter (PM_{2.5}).

	Thimble no.	Dust emitted (g/s)	Emission Factor Total Particulates (g/kg)	Emission Factor PM ₁₀ (g/kg)	Emission Factor PM _{2.5} (g/kg)
Boiler 1	6	59.8932	15.853	2.169	0.369
	7	44.1532	11.687	1.599	0.272
	8	34.3306	9.087	1.243	0.212
	9	29.2748	7.749	1.060	0.181
	(Mean±SD)	41.913±13.485	11.094±3.569	1.518±0.625	0.259±0.083
Boiler 2	2	12.9089	3.417	0.779	0.130
	3	19.2119	5.085	1.159	0.194
	4	17.7618	4.701	1.072	0.179
	5	18.6273	4.930	1.124	0.188
	(Mean±SD)	17.127±2.875	4.533±0.761	1.034±0.173	0.173±0.029

Note: * Emission factor based on 13600 kg/h boiler capacity.

As shown in Table 2, the emission factor of PM_{2.5} for boiler 1 ranges from 0.181 to 0.369 g/kg (0.259±0.083 g/kg), whereas boiler 2' ranges from 0.130 to 0.194 g/kg (0.173±0.029 g/kg). With regard to emission factor of PM_{2.5}, there has been no report from the closed system in the previous study. However, in this study, the emission factor of PM_{2.5} for boiler 1 was much higher than boiler 2.

The differences between these two boilers are found in specific stack gas conditions (temperature, pressure, volumetric flow rate, etc.). The smaller particles are likely to be responsible for adverse health effects because of their ability to reach the lower regions of the respiratory tract. PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ are very important to be evaluated in this study because there are very significant health impacts for dust emission from palm oil mill boiler. Small airborne particles have large diffusion coefficients, thus they pose high probability ended to be deposited in alveoli. Basically, the deposition of particulates in different parts of the human respiratory system depends on particle size, shape, density, and individual breathing patterns such as mouth or nose breathing [19]. The effect on the human organism is also influenced by the chemical composition of the particles, duration of exposure, and individual susceptibility. While all particles smaller than 10 microns in diameter can reach human lungs, the retention rate is the largest for finer particles.

The elderly, children, and people with chronic lung disease, influenza, or asthma, are especially sensitive to the effects of particulate matter. The health impacts of concern due to PM₁₀ exposure include effects on breathing and respiratory systems, damage to lung tissue, cancer, and premature death [20]. Therefore exposure to such substances should be so much reduced, if not totally avoided, and this is especially important for workers who need to be in this nature of process daily throughout their working lifetime. There are some preventive measures to safeguard the environment as well as health of the workers. First, for air pollution control (APC) work efficiently, a collector system such as fabric filter system or electrostatic precipitator system (ESP) need to be installed after the mechanical cyclone. Another option is improvement of combustion process to

avoid any product other than carbon dioxide CO₂, are often called products of incomplete combustion which include particulate matters and gases.

Table 3. The published particulate emission factors for other type of biomasses.

	Emission Factor Total Particulates (g/kg)	Emission Factor PM ₁₀ (g/kg)	Emission Factor PM _{2.5} (g/kg)
Palm oil mill (studied)	7.909±4.245	1.276±0.427	0.216±0.073
Palm oil mill	19.9±14.2 [7]	NA	NA
Wheat Straw	8.75±4.18 [9]	NA	7.6±4.1 [12]
Rice straw	6.28±1.59 [9]	9.4±3.5 [13]	8.3±2.7 [13]
Corn Stover	5.31±1.79 [9]	NA	11.7±1.0 [12]
Cotton Stalk	4.53±0.95 [9]	NA	NA
Kentucky Bluegrass	NA	NA	12.1±1.4 [8]
Florida Sugarcane	NA	NA	2.49±0.66 [14]
Cereal waste	13.0±7.0 [15]	NA	NA

NA – Not available

4. Conclusions

Emission factors have been experimentally determined for various sizes of particulate matters released from palm oil mill boiler stack (total particulate, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}). For water-tube typed boiler with capacity of 13,600 kg steam/h, the emission factor for particulate is 11.094±3.569 g/kg for boiler 1 and 4.533±0.761 g/kg for boiler 2. It was found that the value is much higher than that from other types of biomass burning. Noted that the main difference between boilers 1 and 2 in the process is the operating conditions. The average stack gas temperatures are 290 °C and 224 °C while the average stack gas pressure are 11.4 mmH₂O and 12.8 mmH₂O for boiler 1 and 2, respectively. For particular particle size, the emission factors for PM₁₀ from boiler 1 and boiler 2 are 1.518±0.625 g/kg and 1.034±0.173 g/kg respectively. Meanwhile, the values for PM_{2.5} for boiler 1 and boiler 2 are 0.259±0.083 g/kg and 0.173±0.029 g/kg. As there is a very limited understanding concerning emissions from palm oil mill, this study has improved local knowledge on the scenarios regarding particle matters emissions from palm oil mill boiler in Malaysia. Also the study has paved the way for a more accurate estimation of airborne emissions from palm oil mill which definitely have been impacting the air quality and climatic conditions in Malaysia so far as well as in the future if the issue is not being properly looked into.

References

1. Malaysia Palm Oil Board (MPOB). Retrieved January 15, 2010, from http://econ.mpob.gov.my/economy/EID_web.htm.
2. Ma, A.N.; Choo, Y.M.; Cheah, K.Y.; and Basiron, Y. (2007). *Chapter 14: Development of Renewable Energy in Malaysia*. Part IV Trends, needs, and opportunities in developing countries.

3. Yusuff, S. (2006). Renewable energy from palm oil innovation on effective utilization of waste. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 14(1), 87-93.
4. Department of the Environment (DOE) Malaysia. (1999). *Malaysia environmental quality report*. Department of Environment, Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment, Malaysia.
5. US Environmental Protection Agency. (1983). *Method 17 - Determination of particulate emissions from stationary sources* (In-Stack Filtration Method).
6. Wark, K.; Warner, C.F.; and Davis, W.T. (1998). *Air pollution: Its origin and control*. (3rd.Ed.) Addison Wesley, Menlo Park, Calif.
7. Chong, W.C.; Rashid, M.; Ramli, M.; Zainura, Z.N.; and NorRuwaida, J. (2010). Particulate emission factor: a case study of a palm oil mill boiler. *6th International Conference on Combustion, Incineration/Pyrolysis and Emission Control: Waste to Wealth*, Kuala Lumpur.
8. Dhammapala, R.; Claiborn, C.; Corkill, J.; and Gullett, B. (2006). Particulate emissions from wheat and Kentucky bluegrass stubble burning in eastern Washington and northern Idaho. *Atmospheric Environment*, 40(6), 1007-1015.
9. Guoliang, C.; Xiaoyel, Z.; Sunling, G.; and Fangcheng, Z. (2008). Investigation on emission factors of particulate matter and gaseous pollutants from crop residue burning. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 20(1), 50-55.
10. Janhäll, S.; Andreae, M.O.; and Pöschl, U. (2010). Biomass burning aerosol emissions from vegetation fires: Particle number and mass emission factors and size distributions. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 1427-1439.
11. Obernberger, I.; and Thek, G. (2004). Physical characterisation and chemical composition of densified biomass fuels with regard to their combustion behaviour. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 27(6), 653-669.
12. Li, X.; Wang, S.; Duan, L.; Hao, J.; Li, C.; Chen, Y.; and Yang, L. (2007). Particulate and trace gas emissions from open burning of wheat straw and corn stover in China. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 41(17), 6052-6058.
13. Oanh, N.T.K.; Ly, B.T.; Tipayaroma, D.; Manandhar, B.J.; Prapat, P.; Simpson, C.D. and Sally Liu, L.-J. (2011). Characterization of particulate matter emission from open burning of rice straw. *Atmospheric Environment*, 45(3), 493-502.
14. Hall, D.; Wu, C.Y.; Hsu, Y.M.; Stormer, J.; Engling, G.; Capeto, K.; Wang, J.; Brown, S.; Li, H.W. and Yu, K.M. (2012). PAHs, carbonyls, VOCs and PM_{2.5} emission factors for pre-harvest burning of Florida sugarcane. *Atmospheric Environment*, (55) 164-172.
15. de Zarate, I.O.; Ezcurra, A.; Lacaux, J.P.; and Dinh, P.V. (2000). Emission factor estimates of cereal waste burning in Spain. *Atmospheric Environment*, 34(19), 3183-3193.
16. Slaughter, J.C.; Lumley, T.; Sheppard, L.; Koenig, J.Q. and Shapiro, G.G. (2003). Effects of ambient air pollution on symptom severity and medication use in children with asthma. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology*, 91(4), 346-353.
17. Jenkins, B.M.; Turn, S.Q.; Williams, R.B.; Goronea, M.; Abd-al-Fatah, H.; and Mehlschau, J. (1996). Atmospheric pollutant emission factors from open burning of agricultural and forest biomass by wind tunnel simulations. *Volume 1. California State Air Resources Board, (NTIS PB97-133037)*.

18. Sillapapiromsuk, S.; Chantara, S.; Tengjaroenkul, U.; Prasitwattanaseree, S.; and Prapamontol, T. (2013). Determination of PM10 and its ion composition emitted from biomass burning in the chamber for estimation of open burning emissions. *Chemosphere*, 93(9), 1912-1919.
19. Pollution Prevention and Abatement Handbook (PPAH). (1998) *World Bank Group*, Airborne Particulate Matter, 1998.
20. US Environmental Protection Agency. (1995). Particulate Matter-PM10.